LEE LITIGATION GROUP, PLLC C.K. Lee (CL 4086) Anne Seelig (AS 3976) 30 East 39<sup>th</sup> Street, Second Floor New York, NY 10016

Tel.: 212-465-1188 Fax: 212-465-1181

Attorneys for Plaintiffs and FLSA Collective Plaintiffs

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

IZAEL GARCIA, on behalf of himself and FLSA Collective Plaintiffs,

Plaintiff,

Case No.:

COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT

Jury Trial Demanded

v.

120 MP, LLC PHILLIPE BERNARD and MARK S. ALBERTI,

Defendants.

Plaintiff, IZAEL GARCIA, (hereinafter, "Plaintiff"), on behalf of himself and FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, by and through their undersigned attorney, hereby file this Complaint against Defendants, 120 MP, LLC, PHILLIPE BERNARD and MARK S. ALBERTI (each individually, "Defendant" or, collectively, "Defendants"), and state as follows:

## **INTRODUCTION**

- 1. Plaintiff alleges, pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended, 29 U.S.C. §§201 *et. seq.* ("FLSA"), that he and FLSA Collective Plaintiffs are entitled to recover from Defendants: (1) unpaid overtime, (2) unpaid minimum wages, (3) compensation for off-the-clock work, (4) liquidated damages and (5) attorneys' fees and costs.
- 2. Plaintiff further alleges that, pursuant to the New York Labor Law, he and FLSA Collective Plaintiffs are entitled to recover from Defendants: (1) unpaid overtime, (2) unpaid minimum wages, (3) unpaid spread of hours premium, (4) liquidated damages and statutory penalties and (5) attorneys' fees and costs.

# JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. This Court has jurisdiction over this controversy pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b), 28 U.S.C. §§1331, 1337 and 1343, and has supplemental jurisdiction over state law claims of Plaintiffs and FLSA Collective Plaintiffs pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.
  - 4. Venue is proper in the Southern District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391.

#### **PARTIES**

- 5. Plaintiff, IZAEL GARCIA, is a resident of Queens County, New York.
- 6. Upon information and belief, Defendant, 120 MP, LLC d/b/a SA JU BRISTRO, is a domestic business corporation organized under the laws of New York, with a principal place of business and an address for service of process located at 120 44<sup>th</sup> STREET, NEW YORK, NEW YORK, 10036.

- 7. Upon information and belief, Defendant, PHILLIPE BERNARD, is the Chairman or Chief Executive Officer of Defendant, 120 MP, LLC. PHILLIPE BERNARD exercised control over the terms and conditions of Plaintiff's employment and those of FLSA Collective Plaintiffs. With respect to Plaintiff and other FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, he exercised his power to (i) fire and hire, (ii) determine rate and method of pay, (iii) set employee schedules, and (iv) otherwise affect the quality of employment. Plaintiff was hired, and their employment was terminated, directly by PHILLIPE BERNARD.
- 8. Upon information and belief, Defendant, MARK S. ALBERTI, is the Principle of Defendant, 120 MP, LLC. MARK S. ALBERTI exercised control over the terms and conditions of Plaintiff's employment and those of FLSA Collective Plaintiffs. With respect to Plaintiff and other FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, he exercised his power to (i) fire and hire, (ii) determine rate and method of pay, (iii) set employee schedules, and (iv) otherwise affect the quality of employment.
- 9. At all relevant times, Defendant, 120 MP, LLC, was and continues to be an "enterprise engaged in commerce" within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 10. At all relevant times, the work performed by Plaintiff and FLSA Collective Plaintiffs was directly essential to the business operated by Defendants.
- 11. Plaintiff has fulfilled all conditions precedent to the institution of this action and/or such conditions have been waived.
- 12. Plaintiff brings claims for relief as a collective action pursuant to FLSA Section 16(b), 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), on behalf of all non-exempt employees employed by Defendants on or after the date that is six years before the filing of the Complaint in this case as defined herein ("FLSA Collective Plaintiffs").

13. At all relevant times, Plaintiff and the other FLSA Collective Plaintiffs are and have been similarly situated, have had substantially similar job requirements and pay provisions, and are and have been subjected to Defendants' decisions, policies, plans, programs, practices, procedures, protocols, routines, and rules, all culminating in a willful failure and refusal to pay them the proper wages due to time shaving, minimum wage and overtime premium at the rate of one and one half times the regular rate for work in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek. A subclass of tipped employees has a claim improper tip pooling arrangement in which tipped employees were required to share tips with non-tipped employees in an invalid pooling arrangement mandated by Defendants. The claims of Plaintiff stated herein are essentially the same as those of the other FLSA Collective Plaintiffs.

14. The claims for relief are properly brought under and maintained as an opt-in collective action pursuant to §16(b) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. 216(b). The FLSA Collective Plaintiffs are readily ascertainable. For purposes of notice and other purposes related to this action, their names and addresses are readily available from the Defendants. Notice can be provided to the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs via first class mail to the last address known to Defendants.

## **STATEMENT OF FACTS**

15. In or about January 2011, Plaintiff, IZAEL GARCIA, was hired by Defendants and/or their predecessors, as applicable, to work as a Food Runner for Defendants' restaurant business located at 120 44<sup>th</sup> STREET, NEW YORK, NEW YORK, 10036.

16. IZAEL GARCIA worked for Defendants until in or about May 2018.

- 17. During the employment of Plaintiff, IZAEL GARCIA, by Defendants, he worked over forty (40) hours per week. During IZAEL GARCIA's employment by Defendants, he worked over ten (10) hours per day.
- 18. Specifically, IZAEL GARCIA worked from 4:00 PM to 12:30 AM four days a week, and from 11:00 AM to 8:00 PM one day a week. From the start of his employment, until December 2015, IZAEL GARCIA received an hourly rate of \$5.00. From January 2016, until December 2017, IZAEL GARCIA received an hourly rate of \$7.50. From January 2018, until May 2018, IZAEL GARCIA received an hourly rate of \$8.65.
- 19. Defendants knowingly and willfully operated their business with a policy of not paying Plaintiff and FLSA Collective Plaintiffs the FLSA overtime rate (of time and one-half) or the New York State overtime rate (of time and one-half) and compensation for off-the-clock work.
- 20. Defendants knowingly and willfully operated their business with a policy of not paying either the FLSA minimum wage or the New York State minimum wage to the Plaintiff and FLSA Collective Plaintiffs.
- 21. Defendants knowingly and willfully operated their business with a policy of not paying the New York State "spread of hours" premium to Plaintiffs and other non-exempt employees.
- 22. Defendants knowingly and willfully operated their business with a policy of not providing a proper wage statement to Plaintiff and other non-exempt employees, in violation of the New York Labor Law.

- 23. Defendants knowingly and willfully operated their business with a policy of not providing a proper wage notice to Plaintiff and other non-exempt employees at the beginning of employment and annually thereafter, in violation of the New York Labor Law.
- 24. Defendants took an improper tip credit with respect to Plaintiffs and all other tipped employees of the Defendants given Defendants' failure to: (i) provide proper notice to employees of their tipped credit minimum wage rate and the proper overtime rate thereon, (ii) maintain records of tips earned by employees, (iii) maintain a proper tip pool because management participated in the tip pool and (iv) provide proper wage statements to employees showing deductions for tip credit allowance, as required under New York State law. Defendants also caused Plaintiffs and other tipped employees to spend more than 2 hours or 20% of their shift on non-tipped activities.
- 25. Plaintiff retained Lee Litigation Group, PLLC to represent them and other employees similarly situated in this litigation and have agreed to pay the firm a reasonable fee for its services.

#### STATEMENT OF CLAIM

# **COUNT I**

#### VIOLATION OF THE FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT

- 26. Plaintiff realleges and reaver Paragraphs 1 through 25 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 27. At all relevant times, upon information and belief, Defendants were and continue to be employers engaged in interstate commerce and/or the production of goods for commerce

within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 206(a) and 207 (a). Further, Plaintiff is covered individuals within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 206(a) and 207 (a).

- 28. At all relevant times, Defendants employed Plaintiff within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 29. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, Defendant, 120 MP, LLC, had gross revenues in excess of \$500,000.
- 30. At all relevant times, the Defendants had a policy and practice of refusing to pay overtime compensation at the statutory rate of time and one-half to Plaintiff and FLSA Collective Plaintiffs for their hours worked in excess of forty hours per workweek.
- 31. At all relevant times, the Defendants had a policy and practice of refusing to pay the statutory minimum wage to Plaintiff and FLSA Collective Plaintiffs for their hours worked.
- 32. At all relevant time, the Defendants had a policy and practice of time shaving and refusing to pay Plaintiff and FLSA Collective Plaintiffs for all their compensation earned due to off-the-clock work.
- 33. Records, if any, concerning the number of hours worked by Plaintiff and FLSA Collective Plaintiffs and the actual compensation paid to Plaintiff and FLSA Collective Plaintiffs are in the possession and custody of the Defendants. Plaintiff and FLSA Collective Plaintiffs intend to obtain these records by appropriate discovery proceedings to be taken promptly in this case and, if necessary, will then seek leave of Court to amend this Complaint to set forth the precise amount due.
- 34. Defendants knew of and/or showed a willful disregard for the provisions of the FLSA as evidenced by their failure to compensate Plaintiff and FLSA Collective Plaintiffs at the statutory rate of time and one-half for their hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week when Defendants knew or should have known such was due.

- 35. Defendants failed to properly disclose or apprise Plaintiff of his rights under the FLSA.
- 36. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' willful disregard of the FLSA, Plaintiff is entitled to liquidated damages pursuant to the FLSA.
- 37. Plaintiff is entitled to an award of their reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b).

#### **COUNT II**

# **VIOLATION OF THE NEW YORK LABOR LAW**

- 38. Plaintiff realleges and reaver Paragraphs 1 through 37 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 39. At all relevant times, Plaintiff was employed by the Defendants within the meaning of the New York Labor Law, §§2 and 651.
- 40. Defendants willfully violated Plaintiff's rights by failing to pay Plaintiff overtime compensation at rates not less than one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty hours in a workweek.
- 41. Defendants willfully violated Plaintiff's rights by failing to pay Plaintiff's minimum wages in the lawful amount for hours worked.
- 42. Defendants willfully violated Plaintiff's rights by failing to pay "spread of hours" premium to Plaintiffs for each workday that exceeded ten (10) or more hours.
- 43. Defendants willfully violated Plaintiff's rights by operating their business with a policy and practice of time shaving and refusing to pay Plaintiff for all their compensation earned due to off-the-clock work.

8

- 44. Defendants knowingly and willfully operated their business with a policy of not providing a proper wage statement to Plaintiff and other non-exempt employees, in violation of the New York Labor Law.
- 45. Defendants knowingly and willfully operated their business with a policy of not providing a proper wage notice to Plaintiff and other non-exempt employees at the beginning of employment and annually thereafter, in violation of the New York Labor Law.
- 46. Due to the Defendants' New York Labor Law violations, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants their unpaid overtime, unpaid minimum wages, unpaid "spread of hours" premium, statutory penalties, damages for unreasonably delayed payments, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs and disbursements of the action.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff on behalf of themselves and FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, respectfully requests that this Court grant the following relief:

- a. A declaratory judgment that the practices complained of herein are unlawful under the FLSA and the New York Labor Law;
- b. An injunction against Defendants and their officers, agents, successors, employees, representatives and any and all persons acting in concert with them as provided by law, from engaging in each of the unlawful practices, policies and patterns set forth herein;
- c. An award of unpaid overtime compensation due under the FLSA and the New York Labor Law;

d. An award of unpaid minimum wages due under the FLSA and the New York

Labor Law;

e. An award of unpaid "spread of hours" premium due under the New York Labor

Law;

f. An award of liquidated and/or punitive damages as a result of Defendants' willful

failure to pay overtime compensation, off the clock work and minimum wages

pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216;

An award of liquidated and/or punitive damages as a result of Defendants' willful g.

failure to pay overtime compensation, minimum wages, off the clock work and

"spread of hours" premium pursuant to the New York Labor Law;

h. An award of statutory penalties, and prejudgment and postjudgment interest;

i. An award of costs and expenses of this action together with reasonable attorneys'

and expert fees; and

j. Such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiffs demand trial by

jury on all issues so triable as of right by jury.

Dated: July 16, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

LEE LITIGATION GROUP, PLLC

C.K. Lee (CL 4086)

10

# Case 1:18-cv-06408-JPO Document 1 Filed 07/16/18 Page 11 of 11

Anne Seelig (AS 3976) 30 East 39<sup>th</sup> Street, Second Floor New York, NY 10016

Tel.: 212-465-1188 Fax: 212-465-1181

Attorneys for Plaintiffs and FLSA Collective Plaintiffs

By: <u>/s/ C.K. Lee</u> C.K. Lee, Esq. (CL 4086)